### INDICATOR VALUES AND CHARACTERISTIC SPECIES OF DUNG BEETLES IN TROPICAL FORESTS OF CAT BA NATIONAL PARK, HAI PHONG PROVINCE

#### Bui Van Bac

Vietnam National University of Forestry

https://doi.org/10.55250/jo.vnuf.2022.13.062-070

#### SUMMARY

Dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) are considered as an indicator group of habitat modifications. However, little is known about the indicative value of dung beetles for changes in tropical island karst ecosystems of Vietnam. We sampled dung beetles along the gradient of forest disturbances from primary forests to old secondary forests (> 15 years since abandonment), young secondary forests (< 10 years since abandonment) and Acacia plantations (< 10 years old) in Cat Ba National Park, Hai Phong Province. Our main aim is to detect dung-beetle species that could be used as potential indicator species of habitat changes. In total, 10 dung-beetle species were identified as potential indicator species of different forest types in Cat Ba National Park based on the indicator value (IndVal) of Dufrêne & Legendre (1997). Four species were identified as true specialists in old secondary forests including Onthophagus phanaeiformis (Boucomont, 1914), Sisyphus neglectus (Gory, 1833), Copris szechouanicus (Balthasar, 1958), and Onthophagus dorsofasciatus (Fairmaire, 1893). Three species, comprising Onthophagus sp1., Synapsis tridens (Sharp, 1881), and Onthophagus trituber (Wiedemann, 1823) could be considered as the indicator species of primary forests. Caccobius unicornis (Fabricius, 1798) and Onthophagus jeannelianus (Paulian, 1945) were indicator species of young secondary forests; Onthophagus luridipennis (Boheman, 1858) was the indicator of Acacia plantations. In the following, we gave an annotated and illustrated checklist of the indicator dung-beetle species that may be broadly useful for habitat monitoring and conservations in Cat Ba National Park.

Keywords: Cat Ba National Park, dung beetles, indicator species, tropical karst ecosystems

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Dung beetles currently comprise around 7000 described species, belonging to three families: Scarabaeidae, Aphodiidae and Geotrupidae (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea) (Hanski & Cambefort 1991). These beetles typically use animal droppings as food and nesting material, and are among the most predominant members of dung insect communities in tropical and warm temperate regions (Davis et al., 2001; Davis et al., 2008). Dung beetles are highly sensitive to changes in the physical structure of habitats, such as vegetation cover (Costa et al., 2017), leaf litter layer (Nichols et al., 2013; Tixier et al., 2015) and soil characteristics (Farias et al. 2015; Beiroz et al., 2017). Therefore, dung beetles are considered as good indicators of a wide range of biodiversity impacts resulting from habitat changes (Nichols et al., 2007; Beiroz et al., 2017). On other hand, since dung beetles depend on vertebrate droppings as essential food and nesting resources, they may better reflect changes in the composition and structure of vertebrate communities (Vulinec 2000; Andresen

& Laurance 2006; Enari et al., 2013). The conversion of tropical forests to anthropogenic land-uses has generated a great variety of natural fragments, resulting in the loss of biodiversity, ecosystem functions and services of different groups of organisms (Bernard et al., 2009; Wood 2017). Dung beetles are increasingly being used in the assessment of the influences of forest transformations on biodiversity (Quintero & Roslin 2005; Vulinec et al., 2006; Gardner et al., 2008; Audino et al., 2014; Beiroz et al., 2017; Davis et al., 2001; Hayes et al., 2009). However, there is still very little known about the indicative value of dung beetles for changes in tropical island karst ecosystems of Vietnam.

Cat Ba National Park (Hai Phong Province) hosts a total area of 17,362.96 ha including both terrestrial ecosystems (10,912.51 ha) and marine ecosystems (6450.45 ha). Cat Ba National Park is the heart of the Cat Ba Biosphere Reserve, possessing a high diversity level with 58 mammals, 205 birds, 47 reptiles, 23 amphibians, and 1,585 plant species being recorded (Abramov & Kruskop 2012). Like many

protected nature areas in Vietnam, much of the natural forest area in Cat Ba National Park is irreversibly destroyed by clear-cutting for arable selective logging, land, and limestone quarrying, leading to the existence of a variety of forest fragments, including areas of primary forests, patches of various secondary forests, and plantations, and meadows. Investigating dung-beetle communities along the forest disturbance gradient in Cat Ba National Park, we aim to quantify and identify dung-beetle species that could be used as potential indicator species of various forest types in this national park.

#### 2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1. Dung-beetle sampling and identification

We sampled dung beetles in a standardized manner across a gradient of forest disturbance from primary forests to old secondary forests (> 15 years since abandonment), young secondary forests (< 10 years since abandonment) and *Acacia* plantation (< 10 years old), using baited pitfall traps, over two collection trips between September 2020 and April 2021. In total 20 traps were placed at each forest type.

Traps were deployed at intervals of at least 200 m to minimize trap interference. We placed traps for 72 h at each site during each collection trip. We checked the traps at 6:00 am and 6:00 pm every day, collecting the trapped dung beetles, but also releasing other animals (e.g., snakes, lizards, frogs, and spiders) that had accidentally fallen in the traps. The dung beetle collections were then pooled to obtain a single sample per trap for analysis. Each pitfall trap consisted of a plastic bucket, 22 cm in diameter and 16 cm depth, buried to its rim in the soil. Traps were filled with 70% ethanol, and baited with 300 g of a fresh pig-buffalo dung (1:1 vol. ratio) mixture (Bui et al., 2022).

Dung-beetle species were identified based on the identification keys of Balthasar (1963), Barbero et al., (2009), Bui et al., (2018), Bui & Bonkowski (2018), Ochi & Kon (2007), Paulian (1945), the list of Vietnamese dung beetles documented by Kabakov and Napolov (1999), and through comparison with the reference collections of Vietnamese dung beetles in Bui et al., (2020).



Figure 1. Trapping sites at the four examined forest types in Cat Ba National Park (Acacia plantation site (a), young secondary-forest site (b), old secondary-forest site (c), and primary-forest site (d))

#### 2.2. Data analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out in R software v.3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018). An indicator value analysis (IndVal) was carried out, using the indicspecies package v. 1.7.6 (Caceres & Jansen 2016) in order to identify the characteristic species of specific forest types (Dufrene & Legendre 1997). This method combines measures of specificity and fidelity and provides an indicator value (IndVal) for each species, as a percentage, as follows (Dufrene & Legendre 1997):

$$IndVal_{ij} = A_{ij} \times B_{ij} \times 100$$

Specificity measure:

#### $A_{ij} = Nindividuals_{ij}/Nindividuals_i$

(where *Nindividuals*<sub>ij</sub> is the mean number of species *i* across sites of group *j*, and *Nindividuals*<sub>i</sub> is the sum of the mean numbers of individuals of species *i* over all groups;

Fidelity measure: *B*<sub>ij</sub> = *Nsites*<sub>ij</sub>/*Nsites*<sub>.j</sub>

(where  $Nsites_{ij}$  is the number of sites in cluster (habitat) *j* where species *i* is present, and  $Nsites_{,j}$ is the total number of sites in that cluster.

Each species has a percentage IndVal with an associated measure of significance, with high and significant percentages designating good indicator species. Those species with significant IndVals of greater than 70% were regarded as characteristic indicator species for the habitat. While detector species have IndVal values between 45 and 70%.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### 3.1. Indicator and detector species

A total of 34 taxa of dung beetles were collected, with 30, 27, 21, and 18 species being found in primary forests, old secondary forests, young secondary forests and *Acacia* plantations, respectively, during the two dedicated surveys in 2021.

The dung beetles were distributed across 11 genera: *Onthophagus* Latreille, 1802 (20 taxa), *Copris* Geoffroy, 1762 (four species), *Aphodius* Illiger, 1798 (two species). Eight genera: *Synapsis* Bates, 1868, *Catharsius* Hope, 1837, *Eodrepanus* Barbero, Palestrini, and Roggero, 2009, *Paragymnopleurus* Shipp, 1897, *Sinodrepanus* Simonis, 1985, *Sisyphus* Latreille, 1807, *Liatongus* Reitter, 1892 and *Caccobius* Thomson, 1859, had one representative species each.

Of the 34 collected taxa, 26 taxa were identified to species level; eight taxa could not be named reliably to species but were assigned to the two genera *Onthophagus* and *Aphodius*. In the case of these genera, we assigned taxa to morphospecies. Although, such taxonomic classification does not affect our analyses, further taxonomic work, including in some cases generic revisions, would be required to name species with confidence.

The results of analysis of indicator species (Dufrêne and Legendre, 1997) of dung-beetle characteristic of primary forests, old secondary forests, young secondary forests and Acacia plantations are showed in Table 1. Fifteen (15) species (44% of the total) with significant (p< 0.05) IndVal values were identified for each forest type: 10 indicator species with IndVal values higher than 70%, five detector species (IndVal value between 45 and 70%) and 19 species with IndVal values lower than 45%.

We used the IndVal values calculated according to Dufrêne & Legendre (1997) to indicator species identify as those "characteristic" of a particular habitat (i.e. with high specificity and fidelity to the habitat and thus a high percentage IndVal). In total, 10 indicator species with IndVal values higher 70% were recorded. Onthophagus than phanaeiformis, Sisyphus neglectus, Copris szechouanicus. and **Onthophagus** dorsofasciatus, were identified as true specialists old secondary in forests. tridens Onthophagus spl. Synapsis and Onthophagus trituber could be considered the indicator species of primary forests. Caccobius unicornis and Onthophagus jeannelianus were indicator species of young secondary forests; Onthophagus luridipennis was the indicator of Acacia plantations.

| Species                                       | IndVal   |          |          |          |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|   | PF       | OSF      | YSF      | AP       |
| Aphodius sp1.                                 | 47.2     | 31.4     | 12.1     | 8.3      |
| Aphodius mirificus (Balthasar, 1932)          | 23.4     | 21.1     | -        | 11.5     |
| Caccobius unicornis (Fabricius, 1798)         | 0.85     | 1.21     | 77.4 (*) | 18.2     |
| Catharsius molossus (Linnaeus, 1758)          | 16.2     | 21.6     | 1.89     | -        |
| Copris magicus (Harold, 1881)                 | -        | 3.7      | -        | -        |
| Copris reflexus (Fabricius, 1787)             | 16.7     | 15.9     | -        | 1.01     |
| Copris sinicus (Hope, 1842)                   | 2.31     | 5.4      | 6.2      | -        |
| Copris szechouanicus (Balthasar, 1958)        | 19.2     | 83.3 (*) | 20.2     | 13.4     |
| Eodrepanus striatulus (Paulian, 1945)         | 50.6     | 20.4     | 7.8      | 6.6      |
| Liatongus gagatinus (Hope,1831)               | 1.3      | 1.3      | 2.4      | -        |
| Onthophagus crassicollis (Boucomont, 1913)    | 0.8      | 0.8      | 11.3     | 2.9      |
| Onthophagus deflexicollis (Lansberge, 1883)   | 1.8      | 2.2      | 6.7      | -        |
| Onthophagus dorsofasciatus (Fairmaire, 1893)  | 45.2     | 80.1 (*) | 41.6     | 20.5     |
| Onthophagus jeannelianus (Paulian, 1945)      | 2.1      | 3.4      | 74.2 (*) | 8.9      |
| Onthophagus luridipennis (Boheman, 1858)      | 0.6      | -        | 26.3     | 75.6 (*) |
| Onthophagus orientalis (Harold, 1868)         | 16.3     | 46.5     | 43.1     | 20.8     |
| Onthophagus papulatus (Boucomont, 1914)       | 5.7      | 1.1      | 8.7      | 2.3      |
| Onthophagus phanaeiformis (Boucomont, 1914)   | 4.6      | 78.3 (*) | -        | -        |
| Onthophagus rectecornutus (Lansberge, 1883)   | 11.4     | 6.8      | 5.5      | 4.1      |
| Onthophagus sp 1.                             | 90.9 (*) | -        | -        | -        |
| Onthophagus sp2.                              | 1.3      | 7.4      | -        | -        |
| Onthophagus sp3.                              | -        | -        | -        | 3.8      |
| Onthophagus sp4.                              | -        | -        | 21.5     | -        |
| Onthophagus sp5.                              | 2.2      | 1.3      | -        | -        |
| Onthophagus sp6.                              | 20.2     | 3.4      | 24.1     | 6.8      |
| Onthophagus sp7.                              | 10.1     | 8.5      | 29.5     | 14.6     |
| Onthophagus strandi (Balthasar, 1935)         | 6.7      | 9.8      | 65.1     | 51.6     |
| Onthophagus sycophanta (Fairmaire, 1887)      | 46.7     | -        | -        | -        |
| Onthophagus tricornis (Wiedemann, 1823)       | 92.7 (*) | 32.4     | -        | -        |
| Onthophagus trituber (Wiedemann, 1823)        | 43.2     | -        | -        | -        |
| Paragymnopleurus brahminus (Waterhouse, 1890) | 23.2     | 17.3     | 8.9      | 1.2      |
| Sinodrepanus similis (Simonis, 1985)          | -        | 23.1     | -        | -        |
| Sisyphus neglectus (Gory, 1833)               | 1.3      | 91.2 (*) | 1.2      | -        |
| Synapsis tridens (Sharp, 1881)                | 89.6 (*) | -        | -        | -        |

Table 1. Dung beetles species with significant values of IndVal (p< 0.05) sensitive to the habitat conditions and forest types in Cat Ba National Park. Species with IndVal values higher than 70% (in bold and with asterisk) were considered indicator species. Species with IndVal values between 45% and 70% (in bold and without asterisk) were considered detector species

Note: Primary forests (PF), old secondary forests (OSF), young secondary forests (YSF) and Acacia plantations (AP)

Dung beetle species characteristic of primary forests and old secondary forests were predicted to be indicative of a change in habitat state from intact forests to disturbed forests. Primary forests and old secondary forests are restricted and threatened habitat types that, under disturbance conditions in the area, are being replaced by anthropogenic habitats such as secondary forests and plantations forests. Differences in vegetation structure between the

## *Onthophagus phanaeiformis* Vietnam records:

Ha Noi, Cao Bang, Hoa Binh, Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Gia Lai, Kon Tum (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui et al., 2020; Bui Van Bac, 2020b; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests and old secondary forests in April/May 2021.

## *Onthophagus dorsofasciatus* Vietnam records:

Lao Cai, Lang Son, Cao Bang, Tuyen Quang, Thanh Hoa, Nghe An (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui et al., 2020; Bui Van Bac, 2020b; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests, old secondary forests, young secondary forests and *Acacia* plantations in April/May 2021.

# *Onthophagus trituber* Vietnam records:

Thai Nguyen, Tuyen Quang, Ha Giang, Vinh Phuc, Lao Cai, Cao Bang, Hoa Binh, Son La, Lai Chau, Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Dong Nai (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui et al., 2020; Bui Van Bac, 2020a,b; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests in April/May 2021

forests habitats are thought to contribute most to the differences in dung beetle assemblages between them.

## **3.2.** Annotated and illustrated checklist of indicator dung-beetle species in Cat Ba

The following list includes photographs of 10 indicator dung-beetle species identified during the dedicated dung-beetle surveys, together with their distribution in Vietnam and Cat Ba.



(Scale bar: 1 mm)



(Scale bar: 1 mm)



### Onthophagus jeannelianus

Vietnam records: Cao Bang (Bui Van Bac, 2020b).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests, old secondary forests, young secondary forests and *Acacia* plantations in April/May 2021.

#### **Onthophagus luridipennis** Vietnam records:

Ha Noi, Lang Son, Vinh Phuc, Cao Bang, Yen Bai, Ha Giang, Tuyen Quang, Thai Nguyen, Quang Ninh, Hai Phong, Hoa Binh, Son La, Lai Chau, Dien Bien, Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Quảng Bình, Quảng Trị, Gia Lai, Kon Tum, Bình Thuận, Dong Nai, Binh Duong, Binh Phuoc (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui et al., 2020; Bui Van Bac, 2020a,b; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests, young secondary forests and *Acacia* plantations in April/May 2021.

#### Onthophagus sp1. Vietnam records: unknown

### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests in April/May 2021.

## *Sisyphus neglectus* Vietnam records:

Ha Giang, Tuyen Quang, Nghe An (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests and old secondary forests in April/May 2021.



(Scale bar: 1 mm)



(Scale bar: 1 mm)



(Scale bar: 1 mm)



(Scale bar: 1 mm)

### Copris szechouanicus

#### Vietnam records:

Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Cao Bang (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui et al., 2018; Bui et al., 2020; Bui Van Bac, 2020b; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests, old secondary forests, young secondary forests and *Acacia* plantations in April/May 2021.

## *Synapsis tridens* Vietnam records:

Lao Cai, Lai Chau, Cao Bang, Thanh Hoa, Nghe An (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui et al., 2018; Bui & Bonkowski, 2018; Bui et al., 2020; Bui Van Bac, 2020a,b; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests in April/May 2021



(Scale bar: 1 mm)



(Scale bar: 1 mm)

#### *Caccobius unicornis* Vietnam records:

Ha Noi, Lao Cai, Quang Ninh, Ha Giang, Thai Nguyen, Tuyen Quang, Thanh hoa, Nghe An, Quang Binh, Quang Tri, Dong Nai, Phu Quoc (Kabakov & Napolov, 1999; Bui et al., 2020; Bui Van Bac & Tran Thanh Lam, 2021).

#### Cat Ba NP records:

Recorded at primary forests, old secondary forests, young secondary forests and *Acacia* plantations in April/May 2021.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

We identified 10 dung-beetle species as potential indicator species of different forest types in Cat Ba National Park. We provided annotated and illustrated checklist of these indicator dung-beetle species. The checklist contains a detailed photographic guide, hence it may be broadly useful for both specialists and non-specialists in the identification of these indicator species.



(Scale bar: 1 mm)

#### Acknowledgment

The results of this research are among outputs of the project: Examining the insect diversity and reviewing solutions for insect conservation in Pu Hoat Nature Reserve according to the Decision 118/QĐ-SNN.QLKTKHCN dated on 06/3/2020 of the Nghe An Department of Agriculture and Rural Development

#### REFERENCES

1. Abramov, A. V. & Kruskop, S. V. 2012. The mammal fauna of Cat Ba Island, northern Vietnam. Russian Journal of Theriology, 11, 57–72.

2. Andresen, E. & Laurance, S. 2006. Possible indirect effects of mammal hunting on dung beetle assemblages in Panama. Biotropica 39, 141–146.

3. Audino, L. D., Louzada, J. & Comita, L. 2014. Dung beetles as indicators of tropical forest restoration success: is it possible to recover species and functional diversity? Biol. Conserv. 169, 248–257.

4. Balthasar, V. 1963. Monographie der Scarabaeidae und Aphodiidae der Palaearktischen und Orientalischen Region. Coleoptera: Lamellicornia. Band Systematischer 1. Allgemeiner Teil, Teil: 1. Scarabaeinae, 2. Coprinae (Pinotini, Coprini). Verlag der Tschechoslowakischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Prag.

5. Barbero, E., Palestrini, C. & Roggero, A. 2009. Systematics and phylogeny of Eodrepanus, a new Drepanocerine genus, with comments on biogeographical data. Journal of Natural History, 43, 1835–1878.

6. Beiroz, W., Slade, E. M., Barlow, J., Silveira, J. M., Louzada, J. & Sayer, E. 2017. Dung beetle community dynamics in undisturbed tropical forests: implications for ecological evaluations of land-use change. Insect Conservation and Diversity 10, 94–106.

7. Bernard, H., Fjeldså, J. & Mohamed, M. 2009. A case study on the effects of disturbance and conversion of tropical lowland rain forest on the nonvolant small mammals in north borneo: management implications. Mammal Study 34, 85–96.

8. Bui, V. B. & Bonkowski, M. 2018. Synapsis puluongensis sp. nov. and new data on the poorly known species Synapsis horaki (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) from Vietnam with a key to Vietnamese species. Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae, 58, 407–418.

9. Bui, V. B. 2019. Effects of Land use Change on Coprini dung Beetles in Tropical Karst Ecosystems of Puluong Nature Reserve. VNU Journal of Science: Natural Sciences and Technology, 35(4), 42–54.

10. Bui, V. B. 2020a. Checklist of tunnelling dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) in Lai Chau Province with the first report of new provincial records 2020. Journal of Forestry Science and Technology, 9, 64–72.

11. Bui, V. B. 2020b. The role of secondary forests in conserving dung beetle biodiversity in karst ecosystems in Vietnam. Vietnam Journal of Science, Technology and Engineering, 62(8), 13–18.

12. Bui, V. B., Dumack, K. & Bonkowski, M. 2018. Two new species and one new record for the genus Copris (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Scarabaeinae) from Vietnam with a key to Vietnamese species. European Journal of Entomology, 115, 167–191.

13. Bui, V. B., Tran, T. L. 2021. Species composition of Scarab beetles (Coleoptera:

Scarabaeidae) including three endangered species from Pu Hoat Nature Reserve, Nghe An. Journal of Forestry Science and Technology, 6, 92–100.

14. Bui, V. B., Tran, V. D., Bui, T. D., Le, B. T. & Bai, M. 2022. Changes in taxonomic and functional diversity of dung beetles along a forest disturbance gradient in tropical karst ecosystems on islands of Vietnam. Ecological Research. 1–10.

15. Bui, V. B., Ziegler, T. & Bonkowski, M. 2020. Morphological traits reflect dung beetle response to land use changes in tropical karst ecosystems of Vietnam. Ecological Indicators, 108, 1–9.

16. Caceres, M. D. & Jansen, F. 2016. Indicspecies: Relationship between species and groups of sites. R package version 1.7.6. <u>https://cran.r-</u> project.org/web/packages/indicspecies/indicspecies.pdf

17. Costa, C., Oliveira, V. H. F., Maciel, R., Beiroz, W., Korasaki, V. & Louzada, J. 2017. Variegated tropical landscapes conserve diverse dung beetle communities. PeerJ 5, 1–22.

18. Davis, A. J., Holloway, J. D., Huijbregts, H., Krikken, J., Kirk-Spriggs, A. H. & Sutton, S. L. 2001. Dung beetles as indicators of change in the forests of northern Borneo. Journal of Applied Ecology 38, 593– 616.

19. Davis, A. L. V., Frolov, A. V. & Scholtz, C. H. 2008. The African Dung Beetle Genera. Protea Book House, Pretoria, 272 pp.

20. Dufrene, M. & Legendre, P. 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species, the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. Ecological Monographs, 67, 345–366.

21. Enari, H., Koike, S. & Sakamaki, H. 2013. Influences of different large mammalian fauna on dung beetle diversity in beech forests. Journal of Insect Science 13(54), 1-13.

22. Farias, P. M, Arellano, L, Hernández, M. I. M. & Ortiz, S. L. 2015. Response of the copronecrophagous beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeinae) assemblage to a range of soil characteristics and livestock management in a tropical landscape. Journal of Insect Conservation 19, 947–960.

23. Gardner, T. A., Hernández, M. I. M., Barlow, J. & Peres, C. A. 2008. Understanding the biodiversity consequences of habitat change: the value of secondary and plantation forests for neotropical dung beetles. Journal of Applied Ecology 45, 883–893.

24. Hanski I. & Cambefort Y. 1991. Dung Beetle Ecology. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 481 pp.

25. Hayes, L., Mann, D. J., Monastyrskii, A. L. & Lewis, O. T. 2009. Rapid assessments of tropical dung beetle and butterfly assemblages: contrasting trends along a forest disturbance gradient. Insect Conservation and Diversity 2, 194–203.

26. Nichols, E. 2013. Fear begets function in the 'brown' world of detrital food webs. Journal of Animal Ecology 82(4), 717–720.

27. Nichols, E., Larsen, T., Spector, S., Davis, A. L., Escobar, F., Favila, M. & Vulinec, K. 2007. Global dung beetle response to tropical forest modification and fragmentation: a quantitative literature review and metaanalysis. Biological Conservation 137, 1–19.

28. Ochi, T. & Kon, M. 2017. Notes on the coprophagous scarab-beetles (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae) from Southeast Asia. XXVIII. A new genus and three new subgenera of the genus Parascatonomus. Giornale Italiano di Entomologia, 14, 775–792.

29. Paulian, R. 1945. Faune de l'Empire Français III. Coléoptère Scarabéides de l'Indochine. Première partie. Paris, Libraire Larose.

30. Quintero, I. & Roslin, T. 2005. Rapid recovery of dung beetle communities following habitat fragmentation in central Amazonia. Ecology 12, 3303–3311.

31. R Core Team. 2018. R: A Language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

32. Tixier, T., Bloor, J. M. G. & Lumaret, J.-P. 2015. Species-specific effects of dung beetle abundance on dung removal and leaf litter decomposition. Acta Oecologica 69, 31–34.

33. Vulinec, K. 2000. Dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), monkeys, and conservation in Amazonia. The Florida Entomologist 83(3), 229–241.

34. Vulinec, K., Lambert, J. E. & Mellow, D. J. 2006. Primate and dung beetle communities in secondary growth rain forests: implications for conservation of seed dispersal systems. International Journal of Primatology 27, 855–879.

35. Wood, S. A., Gilbert, J. A., Leff, J. W., Fierer, N., D'Angelo, H., Bateman, C., Gedallovich, S. M., Gillikin, C. M., Gradoville, M. R., Mansor, P., Massmann, A., Yang, N., Turner, B. L., Brearley, F. Q. & McGuire, K. L. 2017. Consequences of tropical forest conversion to oil palm on soil bacterial community and network structure. Soil Biol. Biochem. 112, 258–268.

### GIÁ TRỊ CHỈ THỊ SINH HỌC VÀ CÁC LOÀI BỌ HUNG ĐẶC TRƯNG TRONG RỪNG NHIỆT ĐỚI TẠI VƯỜN QUỐC GIA CÁT BÀ

#### Bùi Văn Bắc

Trường Đại học Lâm nghiệp

#### TÓM TẮT

Bo hung ăn phân (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) được biết đến là nhóm sinh vật chỉ thi sinh học quan trong cho sự thay đổi sinh cảnh. Tuy nhiên, cho đến nay giá trị chỉ thị sinh học của chúng tại các khu rừng nhiệt đới trên đảo ở Việt Nam còn ít được biết đến. Nghiên cứu đã tiến hành điều tra quần xã bo hung theo các mức đô xáo trôn khác nhau của hệ sinh thái rừng tại Vườn Quốc gia (VQG) Cát Bà, Thành phố Hải Phòng: từ rừng nguyên sinh đến rừng thứ sinh lâu năm (rừng đã phục hồi trên 15 năm), rừng thứ sinh mới phục hồi (dưới 10 năm) và rừng trồng keo (dưới 10 năm). Mục đích chính của nghiên cứu là xác định được các loài bọ hung chỉ thị cho kiểu rừng chính tại khu vực. Dựa vào kết quả định lượng về giá trị chỉ thị (IndVal) của Dufrêne & Legendre (1997), 10 loài bọ hung đã được xác định là loài chỉ thị tiềm năng cho các kiểu rừng khác nhau ở VQG Cát Bà. Trong đó, bốn loài đặc trưng (chỉ thi) cho các khu rừng thứ sinh lâu năm, bao gồm Onthophagus phanaeiformis (Boucomont, 1914), Sisyphus neglectus (Gory, 1833), Copris szechouanicus (Balthasar, 1958) và Onthophagus dorsofasciatus (Fairmaire, 1893). Ba loài: Onthophagus sp1., Synapsis tridens (Sharp, 1881) và Onthophagus trituber (Wiedemann, 1823) được coi là loài chỉ thị của rừng nguyên sinh. Caccobius unicornis (Fabricius, 1798) và Onthophagus jeannelianus (Paulian, 1945) là loài chỉ thi của rừng thứ sinh mới phục hồi; Onthophagus luridipennis (Boheman, 1858) là loài chỉ thị cho rừng trồng keo. Ngoài ra, nghiên cứu cung cấp thông tin về đặc điểm phân bố cùng với các bức ảnh đặc tả về các loài chỉ thị, qua đó giúp việc nhận dạng chúng dễ dàng, thuận lợi cho việc quan trắc và quản lý hệ sinh thái rừng nhiệt đới tại VQG Cát Bà. Từ khóa: Bọ hung, loài chỉ thị, hệ sinh thái núi đá vôi, Vườn Quốc gia Cát Bà.

| Received | : 25/02/2022 |
|----------|--------------|
| Revised  | : 31/3/2022  |
| Accepted | : 12/4/2022  |